

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/714,548 | BENTON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | John J. Figueroa | 1712 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) John J. Figueroa.

(3) _____

(2) Peter D. McDermott.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006

Time: 11:13 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13, 14 and 17-43

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed restriction requirement with Applicant's attorney. Applicant elected, with traverse, Group I (claims 13.14, 17-20 and 34-43) which are drawn to a water-soluble copolymer and a composition comprising thereof.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)